IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

JOHN DOE)
Plaintiff,)))
v.) Case No. 5:23-cv-000519
SHELBY LEWIS and NIHEE JOSHUA WESLEY,)))
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

The Plaintiff is a victim of what is commonly referred to as "revenge porn." Plaintiff asserts that Defendants distributed via the internet and cellular networks multiple intimate visual depictions of the Plaintiff. These depictions were disclosed to the general public, including to Plaintiff's friends and associates, who notified Plaintiff of the disclosure. Forcing Plaintiff to disclose his identity in public court records could subject him to even more embarrassment. Plaintiff therefore seeks permission to proceed in this action under the pseudonym "John Doe" and seeks entry of a protective order barring dissemination of his true name and requiring that any documents containing his true name be filed under seal.

ARGUMENT

As a general matter, a complaint must state the names of all parties. Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a). Nonetheless, this rule is not absolute. *See Plaintiff B v. Francis*, 631

F.3d 1310, 1315–16 (11th Cir. 2011). A party may proceed anonymously in a civil suit in federal court by showing that he "has a substantial privacy right which outweighs the 'customary and constitutionally-embedded presumption of openness in judicial proceedings." *Id.* In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1) specifically authorizes the Court "for good cause" to "issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense" upon the plaintiff s motion. The Court may grant a protective order under Rule 26(c)(1) for "good cause" and has "broad discretion . . . to decide when a protective order is appropriate and what degree of protection is required." *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 36 (1984).

Here, the Plaintiff's privacy interests outweigh the general presumption of open records. This case requires the Plaintiff to disclose information of the utmost intimacy.

The federal statute upon which this claim is based anticipates that pseudonyms will be used by plaintiffs who seek redress in Court. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 6851 (b)(3)(B) ("In ordering relief under subparagraph (A), the court may grant injunctive relief maintaining the confidentiality of a plaintiff using a pseudonym.") Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully submits that a pseudonym is appropriate.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for leave to be referred to as "John Doe" and that the attached protective order be entered.

Respectfully submitted on this the 25th day of September, 2023.

/s/ Jessie C. Fontenot, Jr. Jessie C. Fontenot, Jr. Attorney for Plaintiff NC Bar No.: 40597 Fontenot & Oyler, PLLC 314 Indera Mills Court Winston-Salem, NC 27101 Tel: 336-837-1063

Fax: 336-955-2445

ifontenot@fandofirm.com

~and~

Special Appearance Pending Joseph W. Weeks Georgia Bar No. 912341 McNALLY WEEKS 125 Clairemont Ave., Ste. 450 Decatur, GA 30030 Tel: 404-373-3131

Fax: 404-373-7286

jweeks@mcnallyweeks.com

Special Appearance Pending Saam Ghiaasiaan Georgia Bar No. 137334 125 Clairemont Ave., Ste. 450 Decatur, GA 30030 Tel: 404-373-3131

Fax: 404-373-7286

sghiaasiaan@gmail.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff